IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Lahborn Allah,)	C.A. No. 4:08-1538-TLW-TER
	Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	ORDER
Stan Burt, et. al,)	
	Defendants.)	

The Plaintiff brought this *pro se* civil action against the Defendants under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The Plaintiff is an inmate at the Broad River Correctional Institution, and his claims center around his alleged denial of certain rights without cause or explanation.

This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed January 21, 2009, by United States Magistrate Judge Tom Rogers, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In his Report, Magistrate Judge Rogers recommends that the Defendants' motion to dismiss be denied. The Defendants have not objected to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. <u>See Camby v. Davis</u>, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th

4:08-cv-01538-TLW Date Filed 02/13/09 Entry Number 38 Page 2 of 2

Cir. 1983).

In light of this standard, the Court has carefully reviewed the Report and has concluded that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 34), and Defendants' motion is denied (Doc. # 25).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/ Terry L. Wooten

TERRY L. WOOTEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

February 13, 2009

Florence, South Carolina